

ME 209 Numerical Methods

Lecture 5

Solutions of Linear Equation Systems

4.1 INTRODUCTION

- In the previous lecture, we determined the value x that satisfied a single equation, $f(x) = 0$. Now, we deal with the case of determining the values $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ that simultaneously satisfy a set of equations

(General set of equations)

$$\begin{array}{l} f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0 \\ f_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0 \\ \cdot \qquad \qquad \qquad \cdot \\ \cdot \qquad \qquad \qquad \cdot \\ \cdot \qquad \qquad \qquad \cdot \\ f_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0 \end{array}$$

- Such systems can be either *linear* or *nonlinear*. In this section, we deal with *linear algebraic equations* that are of the general form

$$\begin{array}{l} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2 \\ \cdot \qquad \qquad \qquad \cdot \\ \cdot \qquad \qquad \qquad \cdot \\ \cdot \qquad \qquad \qquad \cdot \\ a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n \end{array}$$

where the a 's are constant coefficients, the b 's are constants, and n is the number of equations.

- The system of linear equations given can be represented in matrix form:

$$[A]\{x\} = \{b\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n &= b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n &= b_2 \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{nn}x_n &= b_n \end{aligned}$$

where $[A]$ is $n \times n$ Coefficient matrix
 $\{x\}$ is $n \times 1$ Unknown vector
 $\{b\}$ is $n \times 1$ Right-hand side (RHS) vector

- In this lecture, numerical methods used in solving sets of linear equations will be discussed.

Introduction

- Many engineering and scientific problems can be formulated in terms of systems of simultaneous linear equations.
- When these systems consist of only a few equations, a solution can be found analytically using the standard methods from algebra, such as substitution.
- However, complex problems may involve a large number of equations that cannot realistically be solved using analytical methods.
- In these cases, we will need to find the solution numerically using computers.

Example: Material Purchasing for Manufacturing

- Let us assume that a manufacturer is marketing a product made of an alloy material meeting a certain specified composition.
- The three critical ingredients of the alloy are manganese, silicon, and copper. The specifications require 15 pounds of manganese, 22 pounds of silicon, and 39 pounds of copper for each ton of alloy to be produced.
- This mix of ingredients requires the manufacturer to obtain inputs from three different mining suppliers.
- Ore from the different suppliers has different concentrations of the alloy ingredients, as detailed in Table.
- Given this information, the manufacturer must determine the quantity of ore to purchase from each supplier so that the alloy ingredients are not wasted.

Solution

**TABLE 5.1 Concentration of Alloy Ingredients
for Three Suppliers**

	Supplier 1 (lb/ton of Ore)	Supplier 2 (lb/ton of Ore)	Supplier 3 (lb/ton of Ore)
Manganese	1	3	2
Silicon	2	4	3
Copper	3	4	7

X_j = amount of ore purchased from supplier j

C_i = amount of ingredient i required per ton of alloy

a_{ij} = amount of ingredient i contained in each ton of ore
shipped from supplier j

Using these notations, we can formulate a general equation that defines

- (1) the relationships among the compositions of the ore shipped by the different suppliers,
- (2) the amount of ore needed from each supplier, and
- (3) the required composition of the final alloy as

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j = C_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

in which m is the number of ingredients and n is the number of suppliers. For the case under consideration, both m and n equal 3.

$$X_1 + 3X_2 + 2X_3 = 15$$

$$2X_1 + 4X_2 + 3X_3 = 22$$


$$3X_1 + 4X_2 + 7X_3 = 39$$

Example 2: Electrical Circuit Analysis

Current flows in circuits are governed by Kirchhoff's laws.

- Kirchhoff's first law states that the algebraic sum of the currents flowing into a junction of a circuit must equal zero.
- Kirchhoff's second law states that the algebraic sum of the electromotive forces around a closed circuit must equal the sum of the voltage drops around the circuit, where a voltage drop equals the product of the current and the resistance.

Example 2: Electrical Circuit Analysis



Applying Kirchhoff's first law at junction c

$$I_1 + I_2 - I_3 = 0$$

Applying Kirchhoff's second law to network loop $acdb$

$$V_1 = R_1 I_1 + R_3 I_3$$

Applying Kirchhoff's second law to network loop $ae fb$


$$V_1 - V_2 = R_1 I_1 - R_2 I_2$$

Assume that $R_1 = 2$, $R_2 = 4$, $R_3 = 5$, $V_1 = 6$, and $V_2 = 2$

$$I_1 + I_2 - I_3 = 0$$

$$2I_1 + 5I_3 = 6$$

$$2I_1 - 4I_2 = 4$$



Iterative Equation-Solving Methods

- Linear equations can be solved by
 - **Direct equation-solving methods** like the Gaussian elimination method
 - the solution is found after a fixed, predictable number of operations
 - A trial-and-error procedure or **iterative methods**.
 - the number of operations required to obtain a solution is not fixed
 - a major advantage of iterative methods is that they can be used to solve nonlinear simultaneous equations, a task that is not possible using direct elimination methods

Two of the most common methods,

- The Jacobi and
- Gauss– Seidel procedures

Jacobi Iteration

Idea: $ax = b$ for a single linear equation with a single unknown, it is straightforward to solve for the unknown $x = \frac{b}{a}$

what if: $a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 = b_1$ **solve eq 1 for x_1** $x_1 = \frac{1}{a_{11}}(b_1 - a_{12}x_2)$

$a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 = b_2$ **solve eq 2 for x_2** $x_2 = \frac{1}{a_{22}}(b_2 - a_{21}x_1)$

But, we need values for x_1 and x_2 on the right-hand-side...

Jacobi Iteration

What if we start with **guesses** for those values, call those guesses: x_1^0 and x_2^0

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{a_{11}}(b_1 - a_{12}x_2^0) \qquad x_2 = \frac{1}{a_{22}}(b_2 - a_{21}x_1^0)$$

The equations above act as an "update" or "correction" to the guesses. To make things clearer, rename the updates to: x_1^1 and x_2^1

$$x_1^1 = \frac{1}{a_{11}}(b_1 - a_{12}x_2^0) \qquad x_2^1 = \frac{1}{a_{22}}(b_2 - a_{21}x_1^0)$$

Now repeat!

Jacobi Iteration

$$x_1^1 = \frac{1}{a_{11}}(b_1 - a_{12}x_2^0)$$

$$x_2^1 = \frac{1}{a_{22}}(b_2 - a_{21}x_1^0)$$

Now repeat!

$$x_1^2 = \frac{1}{a_{11}}(b_1 - a_{12}x_2^1)$$

$$x_2^2 = \frac{1}{a_{22}}(b_2 - a_{21}x_1^1)$$

$$x_1^3 = \frac{1}{a_{11}}(b_1 - a_{12}x_2^2)$$

$$x_2^3 = \frac{1}{a_{22}}(b_2 - a_{21}x_1^2)$$

⋮

k is an iteration counter

⋮

$$x_1^{k+1} = \frac{1}{a_{11}}(b_1 - a_{12}x_2^k)$$

$$x_2^{k+1} = \frac{1}{a_{22}}(b_2 - a_{21}x_1^k)$$

Jacobi Iteration Generalization

Example: 3 linear equations

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + a_{13}x_3 = b_1$$

$$a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{23}x_3 = b_2$$

$$a_{31}x_1 + a_{32}x_2 + a_{33}x_3 = b_3$$

$$x_1^{k+1} = \frac{1}{a_{11}}(b_1 - a_{12}x_2^k - a_{13}x_3^k) \longrightarrow x_1^{k+1} = x_1^k + \frac{1}{a_{11}}(b_1 - a_{11}x_1^k - a_{12}x_2^k - a_{13}x_3^k)$$

$$x_2^{k+1} = \frac{1}{a_{22}}(b_2 - a_{21}x_1^k - a_{23}x_3^k) \longrightarrow x_2^{k+1} = x_2^k + \frac{1}{a_{22}}(b_2 - a_{21}x_1^k - a_{22}x_2^k - a_{23}x_3^k)$$

$$x_3^{k+1} = \frac{1}{a_{33}}(b_3 - a_{31}x_1^k - a_{32}x_2^k) \longrightarrow x_3^{k+1} = x_3^k + \frac{1}{a_{33}}(b_3 - a_{31}x_1^k - a_{32}x_2^k - a_{33}x_3^k)$$

Jacobi Iteration Generalization

In general, for an $n \times n$ system

$$x_i^{k+1} = x_i^k + \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_j^n a_{ij} x_j^k \right)$$

Jacobi Iteration Generalization

Jacobi Algorithm:

1. Guess x_i
2. Update guess for each x_i , starting with $i = 0$ according to

$$x_i^{k+1} = x_i^k + \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_j^n a_{ij} x_j^k \right) \quad k \text{ represents the iteration number}$$

3. Repeat step 2 until iteration count has been reached
or

The acceptable difference is set by the user and influenced by the need for accuracy.

Example: Jacobi Iteration

Given the following set of equations, solve for values of the unknowns using Jacobi iteration:

$$3X_1 + X_2 - 2X_3 = 9$$

$$-X_1 + 4X_2 - 3X_3 = -8$$

$$X_1 - X_2 + 4X_3 = 1$$

Solution

$$X_1 = \frac{9 - X_2 + 2X_3}{3}$$

$$X_2 = \frac{-8 + X_1 + 3X_3}{4}$$

$$X_3 = \frac{1 - X_1 + X_2}{4}$$

values of $X_1 = X_2 = X_3 = 1$ for this initial estimate are assumed.

$$X_1 = \frac{9 - 1 + 2(1)}{3} = \frac{10}{3}$$

$$X_2 = \frac{-8 + 1 + 3(1)}{4} = -1$$

$$X_3 = \frac{1 - 1 + 1}{4} = \frac{1}{4}$$

These new values for X_1 , X_2 , and X_3 are then used as the new solution estimate.

$$X_1 = \frac{9 - (-1) + 2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{3} = \frac{7}{2}$$

$$X_2 = \frac{-8 + \frac{10}{3} + 3\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{4} = -\frac{47}{48}$$

$$X_3 = \frac{1 - \frac{10}{3} + (-1)}{4} = -\frac{5}{6}$$

This process is repeated until the differences between the previous values and the new values are small.

Iteration	X_1	$ \Delta X_1 $	X_2	$ \Delta X_2 $	X_3	$ \Delta X_3 $
0	1	–	1	–	1	–
1	3.333	2.333	–1.000	2.000	0.250	0.750
2	3.500	0.167	–0.979	0.021	–0.833	1.083
3	2.771	0.729	–1.750	0.771	–0.870	0.036
4	3.003	0.233	–1.960	0.210	–0.880	0.010
5	3.066	0.063	–1.909	0.050	–0.991	0.111
6	2.976	0.090	–1.976	0.067	–0.994	0.003
7	2.996	0.020	–2.001	0.025	–0.988	0.006
8	3.008	0.012	–1.992	0.009	–0.999	0.011
9	2.998	0.011	–1.997	0.005	–1.000	0.001
10	2.999	0.001	–2.001	0.003	–0.999	0.001
11	3.001	0.002	–1.999	0.001	–1.000	0.001
12	3.000	0.001	–2.000	0.000	–1.000	0.001

If a maximum absolute change of less than 0.05

Using a fixed number of iterations can be inefficient.

We need a way to tell the solver to stop the iterations.

Convergence: When to Stop Iterating?

Successive calculations (iteration) continue until the tolerance value (TD) is satisfied

$$\left| x_i^{(k+1)} - x_i^{(k)} \right| \leq TD \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

Gauss-Seidel Iteration (Multi-Step Iteration)

It is quite similar to the Jacobi method.

- The only difference is; Substituting the calculated x_i value into the next equation.

Idea: Always use most recent information.

Gauss-Seidel Algorithm

1. Guess x_i .
2. Update guess for each x_i , starting with $i=1$. Use most recent information when calculating each x_i .

$$\text{Gauss-Seidel Update: } x_i = x_i + \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right)$$

3. Repeat step 2 until convergence is obtained.

Example

Solve: the following system of equations by taking $TD = 0.05$

An initial solution estimate of $X_1 = X_2 = X_3 = 1$

$$-X_1 + 4X_2 - 3X_3 = -8 \quad \longrightarrow \quad X_1 = 8 + 4X_2 - 3X_3$$

$$3X_1 + X_2 - 2X_3 = 9 \quad \longrightarrow \quad X_2 = 9 - 3X_1 + 2X_3$$

$$X_1 - X_2 + 4X_3 = 1 \quad \longrightarrow \quad X_3 = \frac{1 - X_1 + X_2}{4}$$

Divergence of Gauss–Seidel Iteration

Iteration	X_1	$ \Delta X_1 $	X_2	$ \Delta X_2 $	X_3	$ \Delta X_3 $
0	1	–	1	–	1	–
1	9	8	–16	17	–6	7
2	–38	47	111	127	37.5	43.5
3	339.5	377.5	–934.5	1045.5	–318.25	355.75

If we re-arrange equations (pivoting) like

$$\begin{array}{ccc} -X_1 + 4X_2 - 3X_3 = -8 & \nearrow & 3X_1 + X_2 - 2X_3 = 9 \\ 3X_1 + X_2 - 2X_3 = 9 & \searrow & -X_1 + 4X_2 - 3X_3 = -8 \\ X_1 - X_2 + 4X_3 = 1 & \longrightarrow & X_1 - X_2 + 4X_3 = 1 \end{array}$$

The first iteration cycle

$$X_1 = \frac{9 - X_2 + 2X_3}{3}$$

$$X_2 = \frac{-8 + X_1 + 3X_3}{4}$$

$$X_3 = \frac{1 - X_1 + X_2}{4}$$

$$X_1 = \frac{9 - 1 + 2(1)}{3} = 3.333$$



$$X_2 = \frac{-8 + 3.333 + 3(1)}{4} = -0.417$$


$$X_3 = \frac{1 - 3.333 + (-0.417)}{4} = -0.688$$

The second iteration cycle

$$X_1 = \frac{9 - (-0.417) + 2(-0.688)}{3} = 2.680$$


$$X_2 = \frac{-8 + 2.680 + 3(-0.688)}{4} = -1.845$$


$$X_3 = \frac{1 - 2.680 + (-1.845)}{4} = -0.882$$

Iteration	X_1	$ \Delta X_1 $	X_2	$ \Delta X_2 $	X_3	$ \Delta X_3 $
0	1	–	1	–	1	–
1	3.333	2.333	–0.417	1.417	–0.688	1.688
2	2.680	0.348	–1.845	1.428	–0.882	0.194
3	3.027	0.346	–1.904	0.059	–0.983	0.101
4	2.979	0.048	–1.992	0.088	–0.993	0.010
5	3.002	0.023	–1.994	0.002	–0.999	0.006
6	2.999	0.003	–2.000	0.006	–1.000	0.001
7	3.000	0.001	–2.000	0.000	–1.000	0.000
8	3.000	0.000	–2.000	0.000	–1.000	0.000

PIVOTING

- *Pivoting* is the displacement of rows in the coefficient matrix so that the diagonal elements are maximized in absolute value.

Row Pivoting

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_3 \\ b_2 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Column Pivoting

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_3 \\ x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Exam Question

3. (35p) Brass alloys are made by adding other elements to copper to improve its properties, such as hardness or corrosion resistance. The following table shows the composition of three commonly used brass alloys, which are identified by their alloy numbers (1, 2, and 3):

Alloy #	Composition of brass alloys		
	%Cu	%Zn	%Sn
1	55	30	15
2	25	45	30
3	25	20	55

Supplies of these materials vary from week to week, so the company needs to determine a different production mix each week. For example, one week, the total amounts of materials available are **4800 tons of Cu**, **5800 tons of Zn**, and **5700 tons of Sn**.

- Set up the system of linear algebraic equations modeling the production run.
- Use **Gauss-Seidel method** to solve the amounts of alloy 1 (x_1), alloy 2 (x_2), and alloy 3 (x_3) to be produced each week. Use initial guesses of unknowns $x_1^0 = x_2^0 = x_3^0 = 2000$ tons with the stopping criterion $\epsilon_s = 10\%$.

a. Linear algebraic equation system

$$0.55x_1 + 0.25x_2 + 0.25x_3 = 4800$$

$$0.30x_1 + 0.45x_2 + 0.20x_3 = 5800$$

$$0.15x_1 + 0.30x_2 + 0.55x_3 = 5700$$

- - -

b.

Each can be solved for the unknown on the diagonal as

$$x_1 = \frac{4800 - 0.25x_2 - 0.25x_3}{0.55}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{5800 - 0.30x_1 - 0.20x_3}{0.45}$$

$$x_3 = \frac{5700 - 0.15x_1 - 0.30x_2}{0.55}$$

The first iteration $x_1^0 = x_2^0 = x_3^0 = 2000 \text{ ton}$

$$x_1^1 = \frac{4800 - 0.25(2000) - 0.25(2000)}{0.55} = 6909.09 \text{ ton}$$

$$x_2^1 = \frac{5800 - 0.3(6909.09) - 0.2(2000)}{0.45} = 7393.94 \text{ ton}$$

$$x_3^1 = \frac{5700 - 0.15(6909.09) - 0.3(7393.94)}{0.55} = 4446.28 \text{ ton}$$

The second iteration:

$$x_1^2 = \frac{4800 - 0.25(7393.94) - 0.25(4446.28)}{0.55} = 3345.35 \text{ ton}$$

$$x_2^2 = \frac{5800 - 0.3(3345.35) - 0.2(4446.28)}{0.45} = 8682.53 \text{ ton}$$

$$x_3^2 = \frac{5700 - 0.15(3345.35) - 0.3(8682.53)}{0.55} = 4715.34 \text{ ton}$$

$$\varepsilon_a(x_1) = \left| \frac{3345.35 - 6909.09}{3345.35} \right| * 100 = 106.53\% > 10\%$$

$$\varepsilon_a(x_2) = \left| \frac{8682.53 - 7393.94}{8682.53} \right| * 100 = 14.84\% > 10\%$$

$$\varepsilon_a(x_3) = \left| \frac{4715.34 - 4446.28}{4715.34} \right| * 100 = 5.71\% < 10\% \text{ OK}$$

The third iteration:

$$x_1^3 = \frac{4800 - 0.25(8682.53) - 0.25(4715.34)}{0.55} = 2637.33 \text{ ton}$$

$$x_2^3 = \frac{5800 - 0.3(2637.33) - 0.2(4715.34)}{0.45} = 9034.96 \text{ ton}$$

$$x_3^3 = \frac{5700 - 0.15(2637.33) - 0.3(9034.96)}{0.55} = 4716.2 \text{ ton}$$

$$\varepsilon_a(x_1) = \left| \frac{2637.33 - 3345.35}{2637.33} \right| * 100 = 26.85\% > 10\%$$

$$\varepsilon_a(x_2) = \left| \frac{9034.96 - 8682.53}{9034.96} \right| * 100 = 1.91\% < 10\% \text{ OK}$$

$$\varepsilon_a(x_3) = \left| \frac{4716.2 - 4715.34}{4716.2} \right| * 100 = 0.018\% < 10\% \text{ OK}$$

The fourth iteration:

$$x_1^4 = \frac{4800 - 0.25(9034.96) - 0.25(4716.2)}{0.55} = 2476.75 \text{ ton}$$

$$x_2^4 = \frac{5800 - 0.3(2476.75) - 0.2(4716.2)}{0.45} = 9141.63 \text{ ton}$$

$$x_3^4 = \frac{5700 - 0.15(2476.75) - 0.3(9141.63)}{0.55} = 4701.82 \text{ ton}$$

$$\varepsilon_a(x_1) = \left| \frac{2476.75 - 2637.33}{2476.75} \right| * 100 = 6.48\% < 10\% \text{ OK}$$

$$\varepsilon_a(x_2) = \left| \frac{9141.63 - 9034.96}{9141.63} \right| * 100 = 1.17\% < 10\% \text{ OK}$$

$$\varepsilon_a(x_3) = \left| \frac{4701.82 - 4716.2}{4701.82} \right| * 100 = 0.31\% < 10\% \text{ OK}$$

SOLUTION:

$$x_1 \approx 2476.75 \text{ ton} \quad x_2 \approx 9141.63 \text{ ton} \quad x_3 \approx 4701.82 \text{ ton}$$

Homework: Temperatures in Three Connected Blocks

Three blocks exchange heat only with their neighbours and with air at 20 °C. Assume a uniform temperature in each block and steady conditions.

Thermal conductance between blocks 1-2 and 2-3: 1 W/K

Thermal conductance from each block to the air: 2 W/K

Heat inputs: $Q_1 = 130 \text{ W}$, $Q_2 = 100 \text{ W}$, $Q_3 = 70 \text{ W}$


Energy balance: *heat input* = *heat loss to neighbours* + *heat loss to air*

$$130 = (T_1 - T_2) + 2(T_1 - 20)$$

$$100 = (T_2 - T_1) + (T_2 - T_3) + 2(T_2 - 20)$$

$$70 = (T_3 - T_2) + 2(T_3 - 20)$$

Find the steady temperatures T_1 , T_2 and T_3 in °C.



Homework: Jacobi and Gauss–Seidel Comparison

1. Write the balances as $AT = b$. Check strict diagonal dominance.
2. Derive the Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel update equations.
Use $T_1^0 = T_2^0 = T_3^0 = 20\text{ °C}$ and update in the order T_1, T_2, T_3 .
3. Show the first THREE iterations explicitly for both methods.
Report all temperatures and the approximate relative percentage errors.
4. Use relative approximate percent error $\varepsilon_{a,i} = \left| \frac{T_i^{(k+1)} - T_i^{(k)}}{T_i^{(k+1)}} \right| \times 100\%$. Stop when $\max \varepsilon_{a,i} < 0.1\%$. Use temperatures in °C.
5. Compare iteration counts.